BROWSERSHIELD: VULNERABILITY-DRIVEN FILTERING OF DYNAMIC HTML

CHARLES REIS UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON JOHN DUNAGAN, HELEN J. WANG, OPHER DUBROVSKY Microsoft SAHER ESMEIR TECHNION

WEB BASED ATTACKS



- Web browser exploits are common
 - Buffer overflows, ActiveX flaws, etc.



19 critical vulns, 8 patches in 200516 critical vulns, 7 updates in 2005

PATCHES AREN'T ENOUGH



- Patch installation often delayed
 - Reboots, application restarts, enterprise testing
- Dangerous time window
 - Attackers reverse engineer patches

SHIELD AS A FRONT LINE



- Vulnerability-Driven Filtering [Wang et al, 04]
 - Block dangerous traffic using protocol analysis
- Easy to deploy or roll back
 - Restarts unnecessary

SHIELD AS A FRONT LINE



- Vulnerability-Driven Filtering [Wang et al, 04]
 - Block dangerous traffic using protocol analysis
- Easy to deploy or roll back
 - Restarts unnecessary

Patch Release



SHIELD AS A FRONT LINE



- Vulnerability-Driven Filtering [Wang et al, 04]
 - Block dangerous traffic using protocol analysis
- Easy to deploy or roll back
 - Restarts unnecessary

 O
 O

 Shield
 Patch

 Release
 Installation

4

USEFUL FOR BROWSERS?



- Shield works for static HTML
- Script code can hide exploits

- Finding exploits is undecidable
 - Can't know deterministically until runtime

PROTECT AT RUNTIME

- Rewrite code to insert runtime checks
 - Similar to Inline Reference Monitors [Erlingsson, Schneider 00]
 - Address challenges for JavaScript
- Protect with vulnerability policies

SCRIPT INTERPOSITION



- Focus on JavaScript
 - VBScript, Flash similar
- Can guard DOM, ActiveX, extensions

MODIFYING CONTENT



- Intercept HTML and JavaScript
- Rewrite into safe equivalents
- Apply policies at runtime

DEPLOYING BROWSERSHIELD



- Can deploy anywhere before rendering:
 - Firewall (protect many users)
 - Browser extension (can see SSL traffic)
 - Web publishers (community web sites)

DEPLOYING BROWSERSHIELD



- Can deploy anywhere before rendering:
 - Firewall (protect many users)
 - Browser extension (can see SSL traffic)
 - Web publishers (community web sites)

DEPLOYING BROWSERSHIELD



- Can deploy anywhere before rendering:
 - Firewall (protect many users)
 - Browser extension (can see SSL traffic)
 - Web publishers (community web sites)

TALK OUTLINE

MOTIVATION AND APPROACH

EXAMPLE POLICY

BROWSERSHIELD DESIGN

EVALUATION

TALK OUTLINE

MOTIVATION AND APPROACH

EXAMPLE POLICY

BROWSERSHIELD DESIGN

EVALUATION

EXAMPLE: IFRAME VULN.

- MS04-040 Vulnerability
 - Buffer overrun if name and src attributes are too long
 - Affected iframe, frame, embed tags

IFRAME POLICY

- Simple JavaScript snippet to identify exploits
- BrowserShield must apply policy to all vulnerable tags
 - No false negatives
 - No false positives

```
function (tag) {
  var len = 255;
  if ((contains("name", tag.attrs) &&
     tag.attrs["name"].length > len) &&
     (contains("src", tag.attrs) &&
     tag.attrs["src"].length > len))
  {
    tag.attrs = [];
    return false; // Exploit found
  }
  return true; // Safe
}
```

TALK OUTLINE

MOTIVATION AND APPROACH

EXAMPLE POLICY

BROWSERSHIELD DESIGN

EVALUATION

GOALS OF BROWSERSHIELD

- Complete Interposition
- Tamper Proof
- Transparent
- Flexible Policies

REWRITING LOGIC



- Tokenize HTML
- Strip Exploits
- Wrap scripts for later translation

REWRITING LOGIC



- Strip Exploits
- Wrap scripts for later translation

• Translate scripts to access DOM via interposition layer

REWRITING LOGIC



- Tokenize HTML
- Strip Exploits
- Wrap scripts for later translation



 Translate scripts to access DOM via interposition layer

Policies

- Apply policies on all script actions
- Recursively apply T_{HTML} and T_{script}

doc.write(obj[str]);

doc.write(obj[str]);



















COMPLETE INTERPOSITION

- Rewrite and apply policy to:
 - Function and method calls
 - Object property reads/writes
 - Object creations

TAMPER PROOF & TRANSPARENT

- Hide BrowserShield code
 - Rename variables, handle reflection
- Shadow copies of untranslated code
- Preserve context for "this"

OTHER APPLICATIONS

- Useful beyond security policies:
 - Link translation
 - Dynamic content sandboxing
 - Anti-phishing mechanisms

TALK OUTLINE

MOTIVATION AND APPROACH

EXAMPLE POLICY

BROWSERSHIELD DESIGN

EVALUATION

IMPLEMENTATION

- Firewall-based prototype:
 - ISA plugin: 2700 lines of C++
 - Client library: 3500 lines of JavaScript
 - Handled 3 types of vulnerabilities (*HTML*, *script*, *and ActiveX*)

VULNERABILITY COVERAGE

• Studied all 19 IE vulns (8 patches) in 2005

	HTTP filter + Antivirus	BrowserShield + HTTP + AV
Vulnerability Coverage	5	19
Patch Equivalence	1	8

PERFORMANCE OVERHEAD

- Firewall: 22% increase in CPU
- Client:
 - Typical interpreter behavior
 - 250 pages weighted by popularity, measured 70 pages that worked

CLIENT LATENCY 100% Percentage of Pages 80% 60% 40% - Unmodified --- BrowserShield 20% 0% 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 0 18

Time to Render (seconds)

- On average, 94% increase (216% worst case)
 - JavaScript-heavy pages still a challenge

CONCLUSIONS

- Script rewriting can protect web clients
 - Vulnerability-driven filtering
 - Transforms content, not browsers
- General framework

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Valuable feedback from Ulfar Erlingsson, Bill Weihl, Alec Wolman, Steve Gribble, and anonymous reviewers